

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED SPECIALTY INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

FBT, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00113-NONE-JLT

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE
DEFAULT ENTERED AGAINST ANM
LOGISTICS SHOULD NOT BE SET
ASIDE**

The Court ordered the plaintiff to seek default judgment against Neeshu Sharma and ANM Logistics (Doc. 34), after the Clerk entered default as to these defendants (Docs. 23, 30.) Now, the plaintiff seeks an extension of 60 days to file the motion for default judgment because it believes service was not properly completed as to ANM Logistics (Doc. 35) The plaintiff file a motion to serve the entity by publication. Id. at 2. Thus, this begs the question whether the default against ANM Logistics should be set aside. Therefore, the Court **ORDERS:**

1. **Within 14 days**, the plaintiff SHALL show cause why the default entered against ANM Logistics should not be set aside.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **June 28, 2021**

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE